

ANALYTIC MODEL OF A REGGE TRAJECTORY IN THE SPACE-LIKE AND TIME-LIKE REGIONS

R. Fiore, A. Papa

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria,
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza
I-87036 Arcavacata di Rende, Cosenza, Italy

L.L. Jenkovszky

Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics,
Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 252143 Kiev, Ukraine

V. Magas

Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics,
Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 252143 Kiev, Ukraine
Department of Physics, Bergen University, Allegaten 55, N-5007, Norway

F. Paccanoni

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Padova,
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova
via F. Marzolo 8, I-35131 Padova, Italy

A model for a Regge trajectory compatible with the threshold behavior required by unitarity and asymptotics in agreement with Mandelstam analyticity is analyzed and confronted with the experimental data on the spectrum of the ρ trajectory as well as those on the $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 n$ charge-exchange reaction. The fitted trajectory deviates considerably from a linear one both in the space-like and time-like regions, matching nicely between the two.

Regge trajectories may be considered as building blocks in the framework of the analytic S -matrix theory. We dedicate this contribution to the late N.N. Bogolyubov, whose contribution in this field is enormous, on the occasion of his 90th anniversary. The model to be presented is an example of the realization of the ideas of the analytic S -matrix theory.

There is a renewed interest in the studies of the dynamics of the Regge trajectories [1–3]. There are various reasons for this phenomenon.

The hadronic string model (see, e.g., [4]) was successful as a mechanical analogy, generating a spectrum similar to that of a linear trajectory, but it fails to incorporate the interaction between the strings. Although intuitively it seems

clear that hadron production corresponds to breakdown of the strings, the theory of interacting strings faces many problems. Paradoxically, the final goal of the hadronic string theory and, in a sense of the modern strong interaction theory, is the reconstruction of the dual (e.g., Veneziano) amplitude from the interacting strings, originated by the former.

Nonlinear trajectories were derived also from potential models. The saturation of the spectrum of resonances was shown [5] to be connected to a screening quark-antiquark potential.

A relatively new development is that connected with various quantum deformations, although the relation between q deformations and nonlinear (logarithmic) trajectories was first derived by Baker and Coon [6]. q -deformations of the dual amplitudes (or harmonic oscillators) resulted [7, 8] in deviations from linear trajectories, although the results are rather ambiguous. By a different, so-called k -deformation, the authors [3] arrived at rather exotic hyperbolic trajectories.

All these developments were preceded by earlier studies of general properties of the trajectories [9], that culminated in classical papers of the early 70ies by E. Predazzi and co-workers [10], followed by the paper of late A.A. Trushchinsky [11], who were able to show, on quite general grounds, that the asymptotic rise of the Regge trajectories cannot exceed $|t|^{1/2}$. This result, later confirmed in the framework of dual amplitudes with Mandelstam analyticity [12], is of fundamental importance. Moreover, wide-angle scaling behavior of the dual amplitudes imposes an even stronger, logarithmic asymptotic upper bound on the trajectories. The combination of a rapid, nearly linear rise at small $|t|$ with the logarithmic asymptotics may be comprised in the following form of the trajectory:

$$\alpha(t) = \alpha(0) - \gamma \ln(1 - \beta t), \quad (1)$$

where γ and β are constants.

The threshold behavior of the trajectories is constrained by unitarity:

$$\text{Im } \alpha_n(t) \sim (t - t_n)^{\text{Re } \alpha(t_n) + 1/2}, \quad (2)$$

where t_n is the mass of the n th threshold. The combination of this threshold behavior with the square-root and/or logarithmic behavior is far from trivial, unless one assumes a simplified square root threshold behavior that, combined with the logarithmic asymptotics, results in the following form [13]

$$\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 - \gamma \ln(1 + \beta \sqrt{t - t_n}). \quad (3)$$

The next question is how do various thresholds enter the trajectory. In a long series of papers N.A. Kobylinsky with his co-workers [14] advocated the additivity idea

$$\alpha(t) = \alpha(0) + \sum_n \alpha_n(t), \quad (4)$$




Fig. 1. Chew–Frautschi plot for the six low-lying $I = 1$ parity even mesons (ρ -trajectory). The masses of the resonances were taken from [20]

with $\alpha_n(t)$ having only one threshold branch point on the physical sheet. The choice of the threshold masses is another controversial problem. Kobylinsky et al. [14] assumed that the thresholds are made only of the lowest-lying particles (and their antiparticles), appearing in the $SU(3)$ octet and decuplet — π and K mesons and baryons (N , eventually Σ and/or Ξ). We prefer to include the physical $4m_\pi$ threshold, an intermediate one at 1 GeV, as well as a heavy one accounting for the observed (nearly linear) spectrum of resonances on the ρ trajectory. The masses of the latter will be fitted to the data.

Figure 1 shows the Chew–Frautschi plot with the trajectory (3), (4) and four thresholds [14] included. This trajectory matches well with the scattering data [16–18], as shown in Figs. 2,*a,b,c*, where fits to the scattering data based on the model [19] are presented.

The construction of a trajectory with a correct threshold behaviour and Mandelstam analyticity, or its reconstruction from a dispersion relation is a formidable challenge for the theory. This problem can be approached by starting from the following simple analytical model where the imaginary part of the trajectory is chosen as a sum of terms like

$$\mathcal{I}m \alpha_n(t) = \gamma_n \left(\frac{t - t_n}{t} \right)^{\mathcal{R}e \alpha(t_n) + 1/2} \theta(t - t_n). \quad (5)$$

A rough estimate of $\mathcal{R}e \alpha(t_n)$ can be obtained from a linear trajectory adapted to the experimental data.

We have checked this approximation *a posteriori* and found that it works. It could be improved by iterating the zeroth order approximation. From the dispersion relation for the trajectory, the real part can be easily calculated [15]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}e \alpha(t) = & \alpha(0) + \frac{t}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_n \gamma_n \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_n + 3/2)}{\sqrt{t_n} \Gamma(\lambda_n + 2)} \times \\ & \times {}_2F_1 \left(1, \frac{1}{2}; \lambda_n + 2; \frac{t}{t_n} \right) \theta(t_n - t) + \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_n \gamma_n \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_n + 3/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda_n + 1)} \sqrt{t_n} {}_2F_1 \left(-\lambda_n, 1; 3/2; \frac{t_n}{t} \right) \theta(t - t_n), \quad (6)$$

where $\lambda_n = \mathcal{R}e \alpha(t_n)$. Work in this direction is in progress.

Acknowledgments. One of us (L.L.J.) is grateful to the Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università della Calabria and to the Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare — Sezione di Padova e Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza for their warm hospitality and financial support.




Fig. 2. *a*) Differential cross section $d\sigma/dt$ [$\mu\text{b}/\text{GeV}^2$] versus $-t$ [GeV^2]. The solid curves represent the result of a fit with the model by Arbab and Chiu [19] using the trajectory defined in Eqs. (3) and (4). Data are taken from Ref. 16. *b*) The same as in *a*, with data taken from Ref. 17. *c*) The same as in *a*, with data taken from Ref. 18

REFERENCES

1. **Burakovsky L.** — String Model for Analytic Nonlinear Regge Trajectories, hep-ph/9904322;
Brisudova M.M., Burakovsky L., Goldman T. — Effective Functional Form of the Regge Trajectories, hep-ph/9906293.

2. **Filipponi F., Pancheri G., Srivastava Y.** — Phys. Rev., 1999, v.D59, p.076003.
3. **Dey J., Ferreira P.L., Tomio L., Choudhury R.R.** — Phys. Lett., 1994, v.B331, p.355;
Delfino A., Dey J., Malheiro M. — Phys. Lett., 1995, v.B348, p.417;
Dey J., Dey M., Ferreira P.L., Tomio L. — Phys. Lett., 1996, v.B365, p.157.
4. **Barbashov B.M., Nesterenko V.V.** — Relativistic String Model in Hadron Physics (in Russian). M.: Energoatomizdat, 1987.
5. **Paccanoni F., Stepanov S.S., Tutik R.S.** — Mod. Phys. Lett., 1993, v.A8, p.549;
Kholodkov A.V. et al. — J. Phys., 1992, v.G18, p.985.
6. **Coon D.D., Yu S., Baker M.** — Phys. Rev., 1972, v.D5, p.1429.
7. **Chaichian M., Gomes J.F., Kulish P.** — Phys. Lett., 1993, v.B311, p.93.
8. **Jenkovszky L.L., Kibler M., Mishchenko A.V.** — Mod. Phys. Lett., 1995, v.A10, p.51.
9. **Gribov V.N., Pomeranchuk I.Ya.** — Nucl. Phys., 1962, v.38, p.516.
10. **Degasperis A., Predazzi E.** — Nuovo Cimento, 1970, v.A65, p.764;
Fleming H., Predazzi E. — Lett. Nuovo Cimento, 1970, v.4, p.556.
11. **Trushevsky A.A.** — Ukr. Fiz. Zh., 1977, v.22, p.353.
12. **Bugrij A.I. et al.** — Fortschritte der Phys., 1973, v.21, p.427.
13. **Fiore R., Jenkovszky L.L., Magas V., Paccanoni F.** — Phys. Rev., 1999, v.D60, p.116003.
14. **Kobylinsky N.A., Timikhin V.** — Acta Physica Polonica, 1977, v.B9, p.149 and earlier references therein.
15. Tables of Integral Transforms Vol. II, Ed. A. Erdelyi et al. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
16. Serpukhov-CERN Collaboration **Apel W.D. et al.** — Phys. Lett., 1977, v.B72, p.132; JETP Lett., 1977, v.26, p.502; Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., 1977, v.26, p.659.
17. **Barnes A.V., Mellema D.J., Tollestrup A.V., Walker R.L., Dahl O.I., Johnson R.A., Kenney R.W., Pripstein M.** — Phys. Rev. Lett, 1976, v.37, p.76.
18. Serpukhov-Brussels-Annecy(LAPP) Collaboration **Binon F. et al.** — Z. Phys., 1981, v.C9, p.109.
19. **Arbab F., Chiu C.B.** — Phys. Rev., 1966, v.147, p.1045.
20. **Caso C. et al.** — Eur. Phys. J., 1998, v.C3, p.1.